JERUSALEM LETTER / VIEWPOINTS

Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs

VP:66 26 Tishrei 5748 / 19 October 1987

SOME CONCRETE STEPS FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF JEWISH AGENCY PROGRAMS

Daniel J. Elazar

Some Basic Premises / Some Necessary Conditions / Moving to the Project Renewal Model / A Program-by-Program Review: Immigration and Absorption; Rural Settlement; Youth Aliya; Project Renewal; Jewish Education; Social Programs; Leadership Development; Housing; Other Functions / Accommodating Religious Pluralism / The Governance of the Jewish Agency / Conclusion

[We present another in our series of perspectives on what the future of the Jewish Agency should be.]

Some Basic Premises

1. Israel-diaspora relations have moved in the past 20 years from a situation in which a few top leaders from each side would meet with each other periodically, to a relationship in which there are hundreds if not thousands of continuing ties on all levels of Jewish activity -- public and private. Project Renewal was both a manifestation and a cause of this sea-change.

Put more graphically, the Jewish people has moved from a situation where Israel and the diaspora were two separate institutional pyramids with only the tips touching occasionally, to

one in which for some purposes both are part of a common mosaic or matrix composed of different cells, in communication with one another in a wide variety of ways, so that activists of all kinds, as well as the top leadership, are increasingly linked.

2. Both Israel and the diaspora -especially the American diaspora -have basic ideologies, needs and politics
of their own which are built into their
respective situations, are unlikely to
change very much, and which must be
taken into consideration by the other
party if both are to live and work
together successfully. Israelis, no
matter how committed to working with
the diaspora, deep down in their heart
of hearts inevitably question its legitimacy as an equal partner with the

Daniel J. Elazar, Editor and Publisher; David Clayman and Zvi R. Marom, Associate Editors. 21 Arlozorov St. Jerusalem, 92181, Israel; Tel. 02-639281. © Copyright. All rights reserved. ISSN: 0334-4096

Jewish state. American and certain other Jews, no matter how committed spiritually and otherwise to Israel, deep down in their heart of hearts see Israel as no more than first among equals in a network of permanent, secure Jewish communities around the world.

Beyond that, each has its survival needs which, at times, will lead it to take actions that upset, anger, or just plain puzzle the other side. The Pollard case is

a case in point.

Finally, each has its own brand of poli-In Israel, it is a highly partisan politics in which every public decision and many private ones are subsumed within the party political framework. In the diaspora, it is the politics of personalities and interests for organizational jockeying public by emphasizing position, often relations over less visible solid accomplishment.

3. We have an institutional structure in place, a network of functional authorities, some single purpose like ORT and some multi-purpose like the Jewish Agency, that are in the process of developing relationships with one another, already forming a package, but one that is not yet tied up. the task of this generation to is transform that network into a properly functioning world Jewish polity, just as it was the task of the last generation to secure Israel and the individual diaspora communities that make up that polity, after a century of upheaval and destruction.

Some Necessary Conditions

We also know something about the conditions necessary to achieve the results we seek. They include:

1. Maximum accountability within the institutions of the Israel-diaspora

partnership.

2. As much of a "hands-on" approach as possible, enabling those involved in Jewish Agency programs, either as donors or as recipients, to be involved in determining the character and functioning of those programs.

3. Minimization of bureaucracy and waste to the extent possible.

4. Improved cooperation between the Jewish Agency and the Israel government, recognizing that however much separation of their functions in the same field may be desired, in fact it is difficult to impossible to implement, for objective as well as subjective reasons.

5. The Jewish Agency must reassess its present responsibilities with an eye to reassigning priorities and even some responsibilities as the world changes in the last generation of the 20th century.

Moving to the Project Renewal Model

In concrete terms, the most successful Jewish Agency-related effort to strengthen Israel-diaspora relations since the establishment of the State is Project Renewal. It has not only proven itself in connection with urban revitalization in Israel where its record is "world class," but it has given us all an indication of what can be achieved in the Israel-diaspora relationship. (For an in-depth report on this program, see our newly-published Project Renewal: Urban Revitalization Through Partnership University of Press America Center for Public Affairs, Terusalem 1987].)

The key to Project Renewal's success is the twinning of diaspora and Israeli com-Learning from that experience, munities. the Jewish Agency should commit itself to establish comprehensive twinnings, including and cutting across other Agency functions, so as to build in the interactive component which has made Project Renewal so great. To that end, a significant percentage -say, up to 20 percent -- of the Israel allocations of diaspora communities might be set aside for Jewish Agency programs based upon such twinning or in some cases direct diaspora responsibility particular projects in Israel.

Furthermore, the Jewish Agency should be concerned with encouraging the development of a broad-based <u>Magbit</u> (UJA-style campaign) in Israel whose proceeds in part would be used both on a local community and a countrywide basis to match diaspora contributions and, in part, to go into a common pool for Jewish Agency activities in the diaspora.

A Program-by-Program Review

1. Immigration and Absorption

Since aliya is a never ending project, this is one in which we can expect the Jewish Agency to continue to be active for the indefinite future. But aliya services must be drastically restructured to become more effective. The time has come to apply the Project Renewal model to immigration and absorption through the establishment of "Project Aliya."

In the case of aliya from the free world, the communities from which the olim come should have a major share of responsibility for their successful immigration and absorption. They should responsibility for enabling to transition occur with а minimum amount of conflict and misunderstanding and in such a way that there can be maximum preservation of those aspects of life deemed essential by different people coming from different cultural In doing this, they should work grounds. with the Jewish Agency and the Ministry of Absorption, or preferably with a joint authority established by the Jewish Agency and the Government of Israel that replaces the two, as local communities work with the Jewish Agency Project Renewal Department and the Ministry of Housing and Construction. The diaspora communities should be involved in everything from the appointment of the appropriate joint committees to diaspora leadership "running interference" for "their" olim. Their local counterparts on the Israeli side should be the associations of olim from particular countries and/or communities, that shall be recognized as such and strengthened accordingly.

In order to carry out this task, the Jewish Agency or the joint authority should establish a computerized system of information on aliya matters and reassign resources from other activities for the maintenance of a current data base. In

turn, each diaspora community should commit itself to provide computer terminals in central locations within the community (if successful, ultimately in every major synagogue and community center), where the latest readouts can be obtained on job opportunities, immigrants' rights, mortgages and other subsidies available, etc. The number of shlichim should be drastically reduced and redeployed in accordance with this new system.

This new system of providing support for absorption can be used to move to a system which has already been widely discussed, of providing a general grant to each oleh or family of olim to dispose of as they see fit in the absorption process. rather than the provision of extensive services by either the Agency or the gov-Since his or her local community will be involved, should the oleh return to the diaspora, he or she could be held responsible by contract for either returning all or part of the funds provided, as agreed in an initial contract, thus substantially reducing the problem of exploitation of rights which has plagued the aliya system since the system of privileges for olim was introduced.

With regard to olim from countries of oppression where there are no local communities to provide them with support, twinnings can be arranged with diaspora communities in the free world to provide similar services in conjunction with the Jewish Agency and the government.

In sum, the immigration and absorption system will be transformed into the same kind of quadripartite partnership that has worked so well in Project Renewal, involving the diaspora community, the Jewish Agency, the immigrant associations in Israel, and the Israeli government.

2. Rural Settlement

Rural settlement is one of the Jewish Agency's greatest achievements. Nevertheless, the Jewish Agency should phase itself out of this function as soon as possible. At this stage of the country's development, when there is little need for new settlements, the big problem is for

maintenance of those already established, a task more suitable for the Israeli government. Moreover, most new settlement activity is beyond the Green Line where the Agency cannot be involved even now because of United States government policies.

Hard as it may be to confront this reality from a Zionist perspective, once-valid notion that settlement on the land is the highest form of Zionism has been rendered obsolete by the very success of the effort. From an economic perspective, there are already far too many rural settlements to be viable, which is one of the main reasons why so many are in eco-At some point, the question nomic crisis. of these existing settlements will have to be confronted head-on, painful as that may be, or else either the government and the Agency will continue to pour hundreds of millions of dollars into a bottomless pit -for what is euphemistically called "consolidation" -- to save what cannot be saved and has not been saved anywhere in the world. Hence new rural settlements are really no longer necessary, nor should they be encouraged. Rural settlement was one of the glorious aspects of the Jewish Agency's history. That glory should not be diminished by continuing an obsolete activity after it has lost its usefulness.

It must be recognized that such a phase-out may not be immediately do-able. In that case, the Agency must do three things:

- 1. Concentrate new settlement activity on the new forms of community settlement which are essentially suburban or exurban in character, not designed to be agricultural, that provide the benefits of settlement of the land while at the same time recognizing present economic, social and geographic realities. Since the founding of each of these settlements is estimated by the Rural Settlement Department to be less than half the cost of the founding of a traditional kibbutz or moshav, this also is a more economical way of dealing with the issue.
- 2. Encourage appropriate changes in the organization of existing moshavim to give

them a fighting chance to become viable. Under present Israeli law, as a smallholders cooperative, no moshav can be stronger than its weakest member family. This situation must be changed to allow the stronger family farms to benefit from their enterprise and not be dragged down by the debts of the weaker.

3. The Jewish Agency must live up to its responsibilities as a funding agent and prepare a plan for true consolidation (that is, merging) of settlements where necessary.

None of this has a direct Israel-diaspora dimension, except to the extent that here a diaspora leadership cut loose from old ideological presuppositions may be able to help the Israelis overcome their own sentiments in this regard.

Beyond that, it is possible to look into whether or not diaspora communities can be twinned with rural settlements as they have been twinned with Project Renewal neighborhoods. The problem here is that the rural settlements need economic assistance, not community centers or day care—assistance beyond the capabilities of diaspora community fundraising and even beyond the capabilities of the whole Jewish people to sustain.

3. Youth Aliya

An otherwise highly successful program, Youth Aliya is presently being studied to see whether its strong commitment to residential education is still necessary in every case. Youth Aliya itself has recognized this by moving in two directions -by establishing youth centers which offer daytime programs for disadvantaged youth who remain at home with their families and by introducing programs to bring diaspora youth to Israel to study for limited time periods. Still, of the 17,650 students in Youth Aliya educational frameworks as of March 1987, only 2,500 were in youth centers and 600 in projects for youth from abroad, or less than 20 percent of the The Jewish Agency leadership should encourage this self-examination with a view to increasing the role of both of these new initiatives.

With regard to direct diaspora involvement, the same twinning process can be The diaspora should be and implemented. to some extent already is involved in the projects for youth from abroad and it can be twinned with youth centers that are, by definition, located in municipalities. Indeed, that twinning can be part of the comprehensive twinning proposed whereby a particular diaspora community will acquire a wide range of responsibilities in a particular Israeli city neighborhood or town -- for Project Renewal, for Youth Aliya, for Jewish Agency housing programs through Amigur, and for any locally-based social programs, thereby establishing a continuing, comprehensive relationship.

4. Project Renewal

Since Project Renewal provides the model for the new direction to be taken by the Agency, no more need be said about that aspect of it. On another level, however, the original focus of Project Renewal on neighborhoods or very small towns of neighborhood size is now giving way to more comprehensive regional approaches to confront problems of employment and service provision in Israel's outlying areas. This means that there is beginning to be a convergence between its tasks and the tasks of Rural Settlement. As the former expands its scope and the latter is phased out, consideration should be given to combining them into a department of urban and rural development that would become the address for the Agency's development work within Israel.

5. Jewish Education

The Jewish Agency's responsibilities in education are three-fold:

- 1) To provide supplementary support for Israel's institutions of higher education.
- 2) To provide an Israel experience for diaspora youth that will strengthen their Jewish identity and knowledge and hopefully encourage them to settle in Israel, and
- 3) To assist in the improvement of Jewish education in the diaspora communities themselves.

With regard to the first, every Israeli university is, in effect, a "national institution" -- a university belonging to the entire Jewish people, governed by a board of trustees drawn from throughout world Jewry, with faculties and student bodies also drawn from both Israel and the dias-All obtain both their operating and capital budgets from Jews throughout the world. They deserve consideration in their own right as vehicles for fostering Israeldiaspora relations. Nevertheless, under present conditions there is little to be done through the Jewish Agency in the way of increasing Israel-diaspora cooperation, since the state council for higher education is responsible for higher education planning, and has not seen fit to include the Agency in any significant way. More than a decade ago, the Agency sought a role in higher education proportionate to its contribution to the higher education budget, then very high. When it was turned down, it reduced its funding accordingly. More recently, that funding has been increased at the request of the Israeli government. Perhaps it is time to reopen the question of the Agency's role

With regard to the second, we already know that there is nothing comparable to Israel experience in strengthening Jewish commitment, no matter what the background of the beneficiary of that experience or where he or she will spend his later life. Hence this will no doubt become an even more important part of the Jewish Agency's work in the future. Moreover, it offers one way the Jewish Agency can have an impact on institutions of higher education and others in Israel. Agency's Jewish Education and Leadership Development Committees are already hard work exploring the possibilities of enhancing the Israel experience. No doubt they will have much to say about the subject.

Finally, under the agreement for the reconstitution of the Jewish Agency, responsibility for assisting Jewish education in the diaspora was allocated to the World Zionist Organization. It is only in recent

years that the Jewish Agency has become substantially involved in Jewish education in the diaspora, although it always has provided funds to support some of the Education Department programs. WZO This new initiative is part of the reassessment and reassignment of priorities within the Agency initiated by the Caesarea Pro-Because we are at the very beginning of the road in this process, this is the time to make crucial decisions with regard to what the role of the Jewish Agency should be in diaspora Jewish education.

It is clear that, with a few exceptions, the Jewish Agency should not be running schools. That is a responsibility of the local communities. It is also clear that the Jewish Agency has a role to play, particularly in the smaller communities, in providing back-up assistance, whether in the form of teaching personnel, curriculum materials and guidance, or in similar areas. Now is the time for the ground rules to be set.

These ground rules must include an identification of those functions in which the Jewish Agency will have to play a significant role for all communities, those in which it should play no role at all or at most a minimal one, and those on the "it depends" list. The ground rules should also include some kind of classification of communities according to their Jewish educational needs and resources, Agency involvement will have to be greater where the needs are greatest and the local resources least. So, for example, the Jewish Agency must play a major role in It may have Israel experience programs. an important role to play in teacher training, educational research, and curriculum However, in principle, it development. should not be involved in operating schools in diaspora communities, except there are no possibilities for the local community to do so. Nor should the Agency play much of a role in the United States and other large, strong and prosperous diaspora communities; while it will obviously have to play a far greater role in Latin America, Eastern Europe, and the small Asian and African communities as the WZO already does.

Because of the nature of education, the diaspora communities will have to be very much involved in the activities affecting their children. Thus partnerships will include that local dimension that we are seeking as the norm, only pointed in the other direction. In sum, as the importance of the Agency's role in Jewish education grows, so, too, will the need to bring those responsible for that growth under the same procedures that govern other Agency programs.

6. Social Programs

the Agency helps fund Historically, social programs rather than operate them. That pattern should continue. The new additions to the social programs -- Otzma and the Israel Forum - are in themselves oriented toward Israel-diaspora relations. This is likely to be the trend in this category, and the category may lend itself to adding social programs with an Israeldiaspora dimension. Even the older programs in this category would lend themselves to a greater diaspora role. Israel Education Fund already has. They should be moved in that direction.

7. Leadership Development

As the nexus of the network that comprises the world Jewish polity, the Jewish Agency must make a major commitment to developing new leadership for itself and to sensitizing the leaders of other Jewish organizations to Israel and the To that end, it should Jewish polity. develop a structured, three-level leadership development program for entry-level, "midcareer," and senior leaders. For the first, it should work with other Agency departments and the entire range of Jewish organizations by providing seminars and training programs within the framework of well-prepared curriculum. For second, it should develop and operate a leadership" world Jewish "school of equivalent to a command and staff college for those who show real promise. For the Aspen Institute-style an group,

program should be developed to give them a chance to explore the deeper problems of Jewish existence and strategies to deal with them at the highest levels. Such a program recognizes that Leadership Development be given an organizational framework within the Agency similar to that of Project Renewal.

8. Housing

It is generally agreed by all that the Jewish Agency's role in providing housing should be phased out, but it is also recognized that it will be a good while before that phase-out is complete. In the interim, since the Agency's housing programs through Amigur are located in specific communities and even neighborhoods, they should be folded into the comprehensive twinning arrangements.

9. Other Functions

In many respects the most sensitive budget items in the Agency budget are to be found under "Other Functions" through which the Jewish Agency supports activities deemed worthy through other organizations and makes allocations to institutions that are not organically linked to it. As a result there are literally dozens, if not hundreds, of Israel-diaspora relationon the micro level within this category, each of which needs to be assessed separately. Here we will touch only on the issue of religious pluralism which has become such a critical issue in Israel-diaspora relations.

Accommodating Religious Pluralism

We can take for granted that for ideological and institutional reasons, Orthodox Jewry seeks to avoid recognizing non-Orthodox streams in Judaism as equally entitled to support for their religious activities (no question is raised as to their Jewishness). Beyond that, however, Israeli expectations with regard to the Jewish religion differ from those of many diaspora Jews, certainly those in the United States and certain other Western countries. These differences have an impact on the critical question of "Who is a rabbi?" and "Who is

a legitimate convert?" -- the two operative questions that have been most important in shaping Israel-diaspora conflict on the issue.

It is equally important to remember that this is not a conflict between Israel and the diaspora. Rather it is, in the language of political science, a crosscutting conflict, uniting and dividing people in both Israel and the diaspora.

It is also important to remember in this connection that while the Orthodox constitute a very small minority in the United States, they are far stronger in Israel and the rest of the world in sheer Indeed, as I have shown elsenumbers. where, they have a plurality, no matter how the numbers of the various religious streams are measured (see "Who is a Jew and How? -- The Demographics of Jewish Identification," Religious **Ierusalem** Letter/Viewpoints VP:53 [24 September 1986]).

While many would like the Jewish Agency to avoid it, by virtue of its importance as the principal instrument of governance in Israel-diaspora relations and the key to organized diaspora participation in the rebuilding of Israel, the Agency will, willy-nilly, have a major role to play in dealing with matters of religious pluralism, whether it wants to or not. We must make it a proper arena for considering this issue in such a way as to be fair and to contribute to the maintenance of the unity of the Jewish people. Institutions do this by defusing conflict, by reducing issues to their most manageable components and then dealing with those.

The Governance of the Jewish Agency

In my opinion, we have gone about as far as we can go in addressing governance as an issue separate from program. Further changes in governance will have to flow from changes in Jewish Agency functions that will then have their impact on the governance structure. In that connection, three important dimensions of governance require our attention:

1. The Israel-diaspora relationship requires an improved relationship between the

Jewish Agency and the World Zionist Organization. This may indeed involve the separation of the major WZO and Jewish Agency offices. This will be necessary if the WZO and the Jewish Agency grow further apart. If, however, as already may be happening, the WZO and the Jewish Agency come to offer alternative ways for Jews and Jewish groups to be represented in the world Jewish polity, then what may be required are better means of cooperation and not necessarily more separation.

Since its reconstitution, the Jewish Agency essentially represents the party political forces within the State of Israel and, through the fundraising organizations, the comprehensive community organizations (the framing institutions) of the Jewish The WZO has represented the world. Zionist parties almost exclusively, but in the last 15 years has given more space to the representation of other groupings as well, such as the synagogue movements, WIZO, the Maccabi sports union, and the World Sephardi Federation, that are othernot directly represented in governance of the Agency. The recent elections to the 1987 World Zionist Congress for the first time gave the non-Orthodox religious movements significant political power in the WZO.

If carried further, this bipartite system may be a creative solution to the problem of representing Jews of differing orientations and interests within the world Jewish polity, with the representatives of the framing institutions of state and diaspora and those of the other major Jewish bodies sharing governance of the Agency. it will not eliminate conflict. That is part of life and certainly part of public life anywhere, especially in a polity which strives to live according to the principles But it will of democratic republicanism. make that conflict more productive, more useful, and more honestly reflective of the What re-Jewish people the way we are. mains to be done to achieve this is to include more representatives of Israel's civic and academic (as distinct from party political) organizations in the work and governance of the Agency.

2. There is need for a great deal more planning in the governance of the Jewish Agency. This includes both policy and strategic planning. The Jewish Agency is very much a creature of its Israeli environment in its lack of concern for such planning. This needs to be remedied.

3. A proper system of program monitoring and evaluation must be built into the governance mechanism. Programs should be monitored continually and fully evaluated every 5 to 10 years.

Conclusion

While the emphasis here has been on the greater involvement of the diaspora communities in the work of the Agency, we must remember that the Israel-diaspora relationship is a two-way street. As the Jewish Agency moves more into fields such as Jewish education, Israeli involvement in diaspora affairs will also grow. The ques-Elsewhere I tion is how to best do this. have suggested that one important way is to build a parallel body of Israeli civic leaders involved in Jewish Agency affairs in Israel, to work along with those in the diaspora for the common good of the Jewish people as a whole. Another way is for these civic leaders to raise voluntary funds in Israel that will be part of the common pool.

To date we have only scratched the surface of Israel-diaspora cooperation. As we move toward a truly functional world Jewish polity based upon a network of Israel-diaspora relations, those relationships should be both broadened and deepened, intensifying their texture and their quality.

* * *

Daniel J. Elazar, President of the Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs and a long-time observer of the Jewish Agency and its network, is the co-author of Project Renewal: Urban Revitalization Through Partnership and Understanding the Jewish Agency: A Handbook.